

A note on Tachyon dynamics

F. Canfora

*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli, GC di Salerno
Dipartimento di Fisica "E.R.Caianiello", Università di Salerno
Via S.Allende, 84081 Baronissi (Salerno), Italy
e-mail: canfora@sa.infn.it*

Abstract

A suitable splitting of tachyon field equation is able to disclose non trivial properties of Born-Infeld (some known, some unexpected) and Polyakov actions; the tachyon equation also can be analyzed in some detail. The analysis displays an intriguing connection between sine-Gordon theory and some crucial issues such as the emergence of perturbative string states when a D-Brane and an anti-D-Brane annihilate and the confinement of charged D-Branes.

Key words: Tachyon dynamics, Branes, World-volume solitons, confinement.
PACS: 11.25.Sq; 11.25.-w; 11.10.Lm; 11.15.Kc.

1 Introduction

Tachyon dynamics is an attention-getting topic for (at least) three reasons: firstly, it has been argued (see, in particular, [22] and references therein) that a deeper understanding of tachyon dynamics and of how the tachyon "rolls down" from the local maxima (related to unstable Branes) could shed more light on the vacuum of string field theory. In second place, tachyon dynamics could also have highly non trivial consequences both in early time (in connection with the *inflationary scenario*¹) and in late time (in connection with viable models of *dark energy* and *dark matter*) cosmology (see, for an hopefully incomplete list of papers, [1] and references therein). Moreover, as it has been shown, for example, in [2] [9] [16] [12] [18], tachyon dynamics is very well described by Born-Infeld type actions which are very interesting in themselves.

¹ Some problems of a would be "tachyonic" inflation (such as the problem of high density perturbations and reheating) pointed out in [17] can be accounted for as described, for example, in [15] [10].

Here it is shown that a suitable splitting of the tachyon equation of motion into two equations (which, physically, play different roles in determining the tachyon evolution) on the one hand allows, in many cases, a non approximate treatment. On the other hand, it reveals an interesting and fruitful relation between sine-Gordon theory, tachyon dynamics and several conjectures related to tachyon condensation such as the equivalence between a tachyonic soliton (kink) on the world-volume of an unstable D(p+1)-Brane and a BPS Dp-Brane, the fact that, under certain circumstances, when a D-Brane and an anti-D-Brane annihilate perturbative string states can emerge.

The paper is organized as follows: in the second section some features of tachyon dynamics are pointed out and a suitable splitting of the equation of motion into two equations is analyzed. In the third section some examples are shown. In the fourth section, the relations between the above splitting, integrable models and several non perturbative features of D-Branes dynamics are displayed.

2 Some Features of Tachyon Dynamics

The tachyon dynamics is very well described (see, for example, [2] [9] [16] [12] [18]) by the following Born-Infeld type action:

$$S = \frac{\kappa}{g_S} \int \sqrt{-g} d^D x V(T) \sqrt{1 + \alpha T^c T_c} \quad (1)$$

$$T^c = (\nabla T)^c = \nabla^c T, \quad T_c = (\nabla T)_c = \partial_c T$$

from which one can deduce the equations of motion

$$\nabla_a T^a - \frac{T^b T^d}{1 + T^c T_c} \nabla_{(b} T_{d)} = \frac{V_{,T}}{V} \quad (2)$$

where g is the metric, κ is a dimensional constant which depends on the details of the string theory one is considering, g_S is the string coupling constant, $\sqrt{-g} d^D x$ is the volume element, ∇^c is the Levi-Civita connection, $V(T)$ is the tachyon potential, the round brackets denote symmetrizations and, in this section, the string length $\alpha^{1/2}$ and g_S will be set equal to one. If the left hand side of eq. (2) vanishes then a purely electric Born-Infeld theory is recovered². It is useful to rewrite eq. (2) in this way

$$\nabla_a T^a - \frac{T^b T^d}{1 + T^c T_c} (L_{\nabla T} g)_{bd} = \frac{V_{,T}}{V} \quad (3)$$

² Indeed, when the tachyon potential is constant, the above equation is able to describe more general BI dynamics with both electric and magnetic field with the electric component orthogonal to the magnetic one (see, for example, [11]).

where $L_{\nabla T}g$ is the Lie derivative of the metric along the gradient ∇T of the tachyon field T . From eq. (2) it is clear that the second term on the left hand side of eq. (3), which is responsible for caustics formation [8], vanishes if ∇T is a Killing vector field of g (but this is a too restrictive condition on T); more generally, it vanishes if ∇T has no components along $L_{\nabla T}g$ (this happens, for example, when ∇T is a null conformal Killing field, this case is interesting from the cosmological point of view): when this is the case, eq. (3) becomes an almost linear partial differential equation (PDE henceforth). The following necessary condition for the absence caustics is rich of physical consequences

$$\frac{T^b T^d}{1 + T^c T_c} (L_{\nabla T}g)_{bd} = 0 \quad \wedge \quad L_{\nabla T}g \neq 0. \quad (4)$$

Important examples related both to Born-Infeld theory and tachyon dynamics in which eq. (4) holds will be described below. Here we want to stress that when eq. (4) is fulfilled, eq. (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a \nabla^a T &= W_{,T}, \\ W &= \ln(|V(T)|) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and this equation can be deduced from the action

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} d^D x (T^a T_a - W). \quad (6)$$

which, under rather general conditions on the tachyon potential, admits kink-like solutions³. Thus, it is fruitful to split eq. (2) into two equations: eq. (5) and eq. (4). Of course, there are solutions of eq. (2) which are not solutions of eq. (5) and eq. (4) separately. Nevertheless, this splitting allows to derive interesting properties of Born-Infeld theory in a simple way and, mainly, it sheds more light on the interplay between perturbative and non perturbative physics in string and Branes theory.

3 Explicit examples

Here, some examples in which the above splitting turns out to be useful will be displayed. They will clarify that, in interesting cases, the role of eq. (4) is to single out solutions of eq. (5) fulfilling natural boundary conditions. Thus, when dealing with tachyon dynamics, eq. (5) and eq. (4) cannot be treated on an equal footing: one should think at eq. (5) as the truly dynamical equation while eq. (4) acts as a sort of constraint.

³ Tachyonic solitons have been investigated, for example, in [20].

3.1 The Born-Infeld case

When in eq. (5) the tachyon potential is constant, one is dealing with a Born-Infeld dynamics in which the electric field is orthogonal to the magnetic field [11]. For the sake of clarity, in this subsection a flat background metric will be considered. On a flat background, eq. (4) implies

$$(T_t)^2 T_{tt} + \sum (T_j)^2 T_{jj} = 2 \left(-\sum_{k < j} T_k T_j T_{kj} + \sum_j T_j T_t T_{jt} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$ds^2 = -\sum (dx^j)^2 + (dt)^2$$

Let us search for the moment an elementary solution of eq. (5) with $V(T) = \text{const}$ and eq. (7) of the form

$$T(x, t) = a_{(1)} \sin(k_{(1)}x - \omega_{(1)}t) + a_{(2)} \cos(k_{(2)}x - \omega_{(2)}t). \quad (8)$$

At a first glance, this case is non trivial because eq. (7) could give rise to restrictions on the relative amplitudes. From eq. (5) with $V(T) = \text{const}$ one gets

$$k_{(i)} = \pm \omega_{(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In fact, when one plugs eq. (8) into eq. (7), eq. (7) is identically fulfilled with no restrictions on the amplitudes. This is a well known phenomenon in this sector of Born-Infeld theory: when two beams "interact", the two beams pass through one another with at most a time delay (see, for example, [11] and references therein); it is worth to note the simplicity of the present derivation. It is also trivial to see that any function of the form

$$f = f(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t) \quad (9)$$

solves both eq. (5) with $V(T) = \text{const}$ and eq. (7) if and only if

$$(\vec{k})^2 = \omega^2. \quad (10)$$

One could think that, in this sector, Born-Infeld theory is rather similar to Maxwell theory: in [11] it has been argued that the property that any function of the form (9) fulfilling (10) solves both eq. (5) with $V(T) = \text{const}$ and eq. (7) is related to the possibility to rewrite the Born-Infeld action in this sector as a Nambu-Goto action in which the modes decouple. Rather, it can be shown with a simple example that the two theories, Born-Infeld and Maxwell, can be noticeably distinguished in this sector too. Let us consider a function of the form (here the four dimensional case will be considered but the following

results hold in any dimension)

$$\begin{aligned} F &= f(z-t)h(x,y), \quad (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)h = 0 \Rightarrow \\ \nabla_a \nabla^a F &= [(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) + (\partial_z^2 - \partial_t^2)]F = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This is not an academic exercise since functions of this form, besides to be completely lawful solutions of Maxwell equations, arise, for example, in the theory of PP-waves which has been widely analyzed in connection with string theory. From the previous discussion, one could naively think that F in eq. (11) also solves eq. (7). If F in eq. (11) is inserted in eq. (7) the resulting condition on F is

$$(h_x)^2 h_{xx} + (h_y)^2 h_{yy} + 2h_x h_y h_{xy} = 0 \quad (12)$$

and the above condition is in general not fulfilled by non constant harmonic functions. At a first glance, this result seems to be not consistent with some already quoted known results [11], in fact, there is no contradiction. The properties of "modes decoupling" analyzed in that reference cannot be applied to a function F of the form in eq. (11) since such a F is not *square integrable* (due to the presence of the harmonic function h) and, therefore, cannot be expanded in *Fourier* series: the concept of "modes" is not well defined for such a F . Consequently, functions of the above form solve eq. (5) with $V(T) = \text{const}$ but do not fulfil the Born-Infeld equations of motion; because of the presence of a harmonic function in the spatial coordinates $(x, y, \dots)$ transverse to the propagation direction z , such a F cannot approach, for fixed z and t , to a constant when x and y are large (a harmonic function which approaches to a constant is constant everywhere). One could allow some δ -like singularities in the $x-y$ plane since singular harmonic functions approaching to constants exist but, again, they diverge at the singularities. Indeed, in Born-Infeld theory the electric field has a maximum value so that unbounded solutions are not allowed. One could think to smooth out the δ -like singularities with suitable sources and to represent F as in eq. (11) only in the asymptotic region far away the singularities where F is bounded. As it is clear from eq. (12), in any case Born-Infeld theory does not allow F of the form in eq. (11). In this sector of Born-Infeld theory factorized solutions of the form in eq. (11) are not allowed, and the role of eq. (7) is to "detect" these unwanted solutions of eq. (5) (which, on the basis of a naive interpretation of the results in [11], could appear perfectly lawful solutions of Born-Infeld theory). Such a dynamical mechanism could also be related to the results [3] [14]: eq. (7) can be connected to the extrinsic curvature of a suitable hypersurface whose "minimality" is equivalent to the Born-Infeld equations. The above "factorized" solutions could not give rise to minimal hypersurfaces. One could think that the role of eq. (7) manifests itself when the Born-Infeld field does depend, at least, on four coordinates in such a way to allow two dimensional manifolds transverse to the propagation direction.

In fact, eq. (7) is already at work in the ordinary Polyakov actions for strings in flat space-times (which, at a first glance, has nothing to do with the tachyon or the Born-Infeld actions in which eq. (7) plays a role) even if it does not appear explicitly into the field equations. The Polyakov action for free strings in D -dimensional flat space-times looks like the sum of $D-2$ free scalar fields actions

$$S_P \sim \int d\tau d\sigma \sum_i^{D-2} \left[(\partial_\tau X^i)^2 - (\partial_\sigma X^i)^2 \right], \quad \delta S_P = 0 \Rightarrow \quad (13)$$

$$0 = (\partial_\tau^2 - \partial_\sigma^2) X^i \quad (14)$$

where, as usual, σ and τ are the world-sheet coordinates and the classical equations of motion for the string modes are nothing but two dimensional wave equation. The standard quantization procedure is based on the modes expansion of the solutions of eq. (14): one promotes the *Fourier* coefficients of the expansion to creations-annihilations operators after that the well known machinery follows. On the other hand, one can consider solutions of eq. (14) of "unusual" form such as

$$X^i(\tau, \sigma) \sim \tau^2 + \sigma^2, \quad \tau^3 + 3\tau\sigma^2 \quad \text{and so on.} \quad (15)$$

Even if the above functions have "nothing wrong" as solutions of eq. (14), it is nevertheless clear why one should discard them: functions of the form (15) cannot fulfil the standard boundary conditions. The previous discussion suggests a different point of view: functions of the form (15) cannot be considered because they do not fulfil eq. (7). Thus, eq. (7) is able to select precisely the solutions of the equations of motion which can fulfil the physical boundary conditions. The fact that eq. (7) plays a "hidden" role also for the action (13) should, in fact, not be too surprising: the Polyakov action can be obtained, *via Lagrange* multipliers, from the Nambu-Goto action

$$S_{N-G} \sim \int d\tau d\sigma \sqrt{\det h_{ab}}$$

which describes the embedding of minimal two-dimensional surfaces in D dimensional (flat in this case) space-times, h_{ab} being the induced metric on the surface and, indeed, the Nambu-Goto action is of Born-Infeld type for which eq. (7) plays a role. Eq. (7) (eq. (4) on curved space-times) simply tells that the solutions of eq. (14) are solutions of the Nambu-Goto equations only when they fulfil natural (*Neumann* or *Dirichlet*) boundary conditions.

3.2 The tachyon case

When the background metric is flat and the tachyon potential is non trivial, there are no common solutions of eq. (5) and eq. (7) of the form

$$T = T(z - v_0 t), \quad v_0 = \text{const} \quad (16)$$

where v_0 would be the soliton velocity. The reason is that when one plugs the above function into eq. (7) it follows that $v_0 = 1$ and in this case eq. (16) cannot solve eq. (5) with a non vanishing tachyon potential. In fact, common solutions of eq. (5) and eq. (7) can be found: to see this, let us search for solutions of the form

$$T = T(z - v(t)), \quad a = \dot{v} = \partial_t v, \quad (17)$$

$$T''(z) = W(T(z)) \quad (18)$$

which can be understood as deformations of a single soliton solution (18). If one plugs eq. (17) into eq. (7) taking into account eq. (18) the result is

$$1 - (\dot{v})^2 \sim \frac{W(T(z + v(t)))}{W(T(z))}. \quad (19)$$

Strictly speaking, eq. (19) is, in general, not consistent unless the right hand side turns out to be independent on z . However, in many soliton-like solutions, for large values of the argument the soliton field (T in this case) rapidly approaches a constant value: in this approximation eq. (19) provides with a detailed description of the evolution; when $W = 0$, the Born-Infeld case is recovered. As an example, let us consider the case

$$W(T) \sim T;$$

from eq. (19) it follows

$$1 - (\dot{v})^2 \sim \frac{T(z + v(t))}{T(z)}. \quad (20)$$

Thus, if one considers a tachyon field which for large positive values of its argument is exponentially decaying

$$T(z) \underset{z \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \exp(-bz) \quad b > 0,$$

then one obtains from eq. (20)

$$\int^v \frac{dv'}{\sqrt{1 - \exp(-bv')}} \sim t \Rightarrow \quad (21)$$

$$v \sim t^2, \quad t \text{ small} \quad (21)$$

$$v \sim t, \quad t \text{ large}. \quad (22)$$

As one would expect, when v is large and $W(T)$ is highly suppressed one gets a light-like wave solutions; rather, for small v there are deviations from the light-like behavior.

An interesting class of non trivial curved backgrounds on which the above scheme also works is the following

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= 2d(z-t)d(z+t) + R^{2m}(z-t, x^i, y^a) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p (dx^i)^2 \right) \\
&\quad + R^{2n}(z-t, x^i, y^a) \left(\sum_{a=1}^q (dy^a)^2 \right), \\
0 &= mp + nq.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Indeed, metrics with the above block structure are ubiquitous in the low energy description of p-Branes in supergravity. The metric (23) is of cosmological interest since, according to whether one considers the y^a or the x^i as "extra-dimensions" to be compactified, it can represent (according to the matter fields accounting for the sources of the Einstein equations) space-times in which the volume of the extra-dimensions is shrinking while the "macroscopic" dimensions are expanding. As far as tachyon fields depending only on z and t are concerned, on such curved space-times eq. (5) and eq. (4) keep their flat space-time form unchanged so that the above discussion also holds in these cases. In particular, any exact solution of the flat purely electric Born-Infeld theory depending only on z and t remains an exact solution on these backgrounds too.

4 The implications of a sine-Gordon description

In the previous section it has been shown that the splitting of eq. (2) into eq. (5) and eq. (4) can be useful: in particular, it came out that eq. (5) can be considered as the effective dynamical equation while eq. (4) singles out, among the solutions of eq. (5), the ones fulfilling natural boundary conditions. Thus, eq. (5) and the action (1) provide with a good description of tachyon dynamics supporting interesting string-theoretic conjectures.

It is worth to recall here some generic features of the tachyon potential $V(T)$ which are commonly believed to be true: $V(T)$ should be an even function of T , it should have a maximum for $T = 0$, it should have vanishing minima for $T \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Let us represent the tachyon potential as follows

$$V(T) = \exp[-P(T)], \quad P(T) = P(-T), \quad P'(0) = 0, \quad P''(0) > 0, \tag{24}$$

so that, at least for small T ,

$$P(T) = a_1 + a_2 T^2 + o(T^4), \quad a_2 > 0. \quad (25)$$

However it is more convenient to write $P(T)$ in a different way

$$P(T) = \frac{m^2}{\beta^2} \cos(\beta T) + o(T^4) \quad (26)$$

where β is an adimensional coupling constant, m is a mass parameter and, to make unimportant the violation of the condition to have vanishing minima for $V(T)$ when $T \rightarrow \pm\infty$, one has to assume $\beta \ll 1$ in such a way that the first minima of $P(T)$ occurs for very large T . It is worth to stress that there is no lack of generality in the parametrization (26): provided $\beta \ll 1$, the form (26) of the tachyon potential encodes generic characteristics of $V(T)$ which are believed to be true.

The advantage of this parametrization of $P(T)$ is, at least, twofold: firstly, this allows to uptake the fact that the action (6) is completely integrable both at classical and quantum level; more importantly, the powerful theory of perturbations of integrable models could come into play⁴. It could be possible to perform a direct comparison between the well known mass spectra and S -matrix of the sine-Gordon model and some very interesting features of tachyon dynamics, such as the close relation between (the tachyonic description of) non BPS and BPS Brane⁵ and the arising of perturbative string states from D-Brane anti-D-Brane annihilation, which are believed to be true but of which a fully satisfactory theoretical description is still lacking⁶.

Let us recall some known features of sine-Gordon theory [24]: it has a discrete symmetry

$$T \rightarrow T + \frac{2\pi}{\beta}$$

which is spontaneously broken at $\beta^2 < 8\pi$ which is the case of interest in this context since the parametrization (26) can provide with an effective description of tachyon dynamics only if $\beta \ll 1$. In this domain the theory is massive and its particles spectrum consists of soliton-anti-soliton pair with equal masses and a certain number of quantum breathers (the number n_B of

⁴ To provide with a complete list of references on this theme is a hopeless task: as far as the connection with tachyon dynamics is concerned, the results in [7] could be very important in a future perspective.

⁵ In [21] it has been conjectured that a tachyonic soliton (kink) on the world-volume of an unstable D(p+1)-brane is a BPS Dp-brane.

⁶ There are many papers supporting this modern understanding of tachyon dynamics such as [19] [6], an updated review with the main recent achievements and a complete list of references is, for example, [13].

quantum breathers fulfills

$$n_B = \left[\frac{8\pi}{\beta^2} - 1 \right]$$

where, in the above equation, the square brackets denote the integer part). The (anti)solitons carry a (negative) positive topological charge

$$Q = \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \partial_x T(x, y) dx \quad (27)$$

while the neutral breathers are soliton-anti-soliton bound states with energies

$$E_B^{(n)} = 2M_s \sin \left(\frac{n\pi}{2(\frac{8\pi}{\beta^2} - 1)} \right), \quad n \leq n_B, \quad M_s = \frac{8m}{\beta^2}, \quad (28)$$

where M_s is the soliton mass.

The above spectrum is characteristic of sine-Gordon model but the main features of the spectrum are shared by many field theoretical models admitting solitonic solutions: the cross over from low energy states (interpreted as soliton-anti-soliton bound states) to high energy states (solitons and anti-solitons) is similar to the one in eq. (28) in which, after a finite number of low energy states (with energies of order m , the mass of the "phonons") there are solitons and anti-solitons (with energies of order $\frac{8m}{\beta^2}$ where β is the small coupling constant), see, for example, [23] and references therein.

To make contact with the action (1) the identification between the string theoretic and the sine-Gordon parameters is the following:

$$m^2 \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}, \quad \beta^2 \rightarrow g_S = \langle \exp \phi \rangle \quad (29)$$

g_S being the string coupling constant. This identification can be achieved for example, by recalling that tachyonic action is multiplied by $\frac{1}{g_S}$ and, consequently, such a factor has to lie also in front of the sine-Gordon action describing tachyon dynamics. Consequently, the $\frac{1}{g_S}$ factor in front of the sine-Gordon action can be absorbed in the Lagrangian as follows

$$\frac{1}{g_S} (\nabla^c T \nabla_c T + W) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\nabla^c}{g_S} \right) (g_S^{1/2} T) \left(\frac{\nabla_c}{g_S} \right) (g_S^{1/2} T) + \left(\frac{1}{g_S} W \right),$$

so that any T is replaced by $(g_S)^{1/2} T$ (which tells that we are near the maximum of the tachyon potential at $T = 0$), the derivatives are rescaled by $1/g_S$ (which tells that the derivatives of the tachyon are large when the tachyon is displaced from the local maximum) and the constant $1/g_S$ appears in front of the self-interaction potential.

4.1 Physical consequences of the IM description

Whatever the details of the underlying unstable Brane are, from eqs. (28) and (29) the mass of the tachyonic soliton is of order of a D-Brane tension

$$M_s \sim \frac{1}{g_s}$$

and this is in a perfect agreement with [21]. Also intriguing is the interpretation of the breathers of sine-Gordon theory: they represent soliton-anti-soliton bound states. According to [21], this allows to think at breathers as D-Brane-anti-D-Brane bound states, and, from eqs. (28) and (29), the energies of such bound states are

$$\left(E_B^{(n)}\right)^2 \sim \frac{\alpha^{-1}}{(g_s)^2} \left(\sin \left(\frac{n\pi}{2(\frac{8\pi}{g_s} - 1)} \right) \right)^2. \quad (30)$$

When the string coupling constant is very small (and this happens precisely when this description does work: $\beta \ll 1$), deeply into the perturbative regime, the energies of the above (non perturbative) bound states of D-Brane and anti-D-Brane turn out to be

$$\left(E_B^{(n)}\right)^2 \sim c_0 n^2 \alpha^{-1}$$

which are nothing but the (square of the) energies of integer numbers of fundamental (perturbative) strings. This provides the ideas in [4] [12] (that, under certain circumstances, when a D-Brane and an anti-D-Brane annihilate perturbative string states can emerge) with a sound, fully dynamical, support. The above considerations encourage to further pursue this point of view.

What happens if we add to $P(T)$ another piece which takes into account that a sine-Gordon description should be effective only for small value of the tachyon field? It has been shown in [7] that a generic perturbation, removing the degeneracy among the different classical vacua, lead to the confinement of (topologically) charged solitons (which, in this picture, represent charged D-Branes, the non perturbative stable states of string theory) while the breathers (which can be identified with perturbative string states) are left in the physical spectrum⁷ thus providing with a clear physical description of the not yet fully understood interplay between perturbative and non perturbative regime which

⁷ In [7] it has also been shown that, if the perturbation does not remove completely the degeneracy among the different classical vacua, some solitons survives in the physical spectrum. The authors of [7] analyzed in details a particular perturbation of sine-Gordon theory but their qualitative conclusions about the confinement are general.

underlies several crucial issues such as tachyon condensation (for a detailed updated review see [13]) and whether and how confinement occurs in the weakly coupled limit of string theory (see [21] [4] [12] and references therein).

The main lesson is that a description in term of (perturbation of) integrable models of tachyon dynamics could capture non perturbative phenomena: it naturally encompasses the seeming dichotomy between high and low energy phenomena. It could be fruitful to repeat the analysis in [22] using Boundary Integrable Field Theory instead of Boundary Conformal Field Theory.

5 Conclusion

A suitable splitting of the tachyon field equation into two equations, the first one representing an effective dynamical equation and the second one a sort of constraint related to (the absence of) caustics, is helpful to find exact solutions; the scheme also works on curved space-times of cosmological interest. It has been derived in a simple way the (already known) property that *square-integrable* wave-like solutions of Maxwell theory are solutions of Born-Infeld theory too. In fact, this is not true if one considers *non square-integrable* wave-like solutions (which, when asymptotically constant, have δ -like singularities somewhere) of Maxwell theory: this is related to the fact that Born-Infeld theory has the property that the electric field is bounded from the above. A similar phenomenon has also been displayed in the standard Polyakov action for strings on flat space-times: this is related to the fact that Polyakov action can be derived from the Nambu-Goto action which is of Born-Infeld type. It has also been argued that a splitting of the (logarithm of the) tachyon potential into an integrable sine-Gordon term plus a perturbation can have highly non trivial consequences. The spectrum of sine-Gordon theory provide with a very sound support to two related conjectures: the first asserts that a tachyonic soliton (kink) on the world-volume of an unstable D(p+1)-Brane is a BPS Dp-Brane; the second contends that, under certain circumstances, when a D-Brane and an anti-D-Brane annihilate perturbative string states can emerge. If one perturbs the sine-Gordon term with terms which remove the degeneracy among the different classical vacua, then the D-Branes get confined and one is only left with the perturbative string spectrum.

References

- [1] J.M. Aguirregabiria, R. Lazkoz, *Phys.Rev.* **D69** (2004) 123502; M. C. Bento, O. Bertolami, A. Sen, *Phys.Rev.* **D67** (2003) 063511; J.S.Bagla, H.K.Jassal,

- T.Padmanabhan, *Phys.Rev.* **D67** (2003) 063504; J. M. Cline, H. Firouzjahi, P. Martineau, **JHEP** 0211 (2002) 041; T.Padmanabhan, *Phys.Rev.* **D66** (2002) 021301; Y. Piao, R. Cai, X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, *Phys.Rev.* **D66** (2002) 121301; M. Sami, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A18** (2003) 691; G. Shiu, S. H. Tye, I. Wasserman, *Phys.Rev.* **D67** (2003) 083517.
- [2] E.A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, T.C. de Wit, E. Eyras, S. Panda, **JHEP** 0005 (2000) 009.
- [3] M. Bordemann, J. Hoppe, *Phys.Lett.* **B317** (1993) 315, *Phys.Lett.* **B325** (1994) 359.
- [4] O. Bergman, K. Hori, P. Yi, *Nucl.Phys.* **B580** (2000) 289; P. Yi, *Nucl.Phys.* **B550** (1999) 214.
- [5] K. Choi, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 043509.
- [6] S. Dasgupta, T. Dasgupta, **JHEP** 0106 (2001) 007.
- [7] G. Delfino, G. Mussardo, P. Simonetti, *Nucl.Phys.* **B473** (1996) 469; G. Delfino, G. Mussardo, *Nucl.Phys.* **B516** (1998) 675.
- [8] G. Felder, L. Kofman, A. Starobinsky **JHEP** 0209 (2002) 026; G. N. Felder, L. Kofman, *Phys.Rev.* **D70** (2004) 046004.
- [9] M. R. Garousi, *Nucl.Phys.* **B584** (2000) 284.
- [10] M. R. Garousi, M. Sami, S. Tsujikawa, *Phys.Rev.* **D70** (2004) 043536
- [11] G. W. Gibbons, *Nucl.Phys.* **B514** (1998) 603.
- [12] G. W. Gibbons, K. Hori, P. Yi, *Nucl.Phys.* **B596** (2001) 136.
- [13] M. Headrick, S. Minwalla, T. Takayanagi, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **21** (2004) S1539.
- [14] J. Hoppe, hep-th/9402112, hep-th/9503069.
- [15] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, S. P. Trivedi, *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 046005.
- [16] J. Kluson, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 126003.
- [17] L. Kofman, A. Linde, **JHEP** 0207 (2002) 004.
- [18] D. Kutasov, V. Niarchos, *Nucl.Phys.* **B666** (2003) 56.
- [19] N. Moeller, A. Sen, B. Zwiebach, **JHEP** 0008 (2000) 039.
- [20] S. Mukohyama, *Phys.Rev.* **D66** (2002) 123512; A. Sen, *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 066008.
- [21] A. Sen, **JHEP** 9812 (1998) 021.
- [22] A. Sen, **JHEP** 0204 (2002) 048, **JHEP** 0207 (2002) 065, **JHEP** 0210 (2002) 003.

- [23] A. Vilenkin, E. P. S. Shellard, " *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects*" (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- [24] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Al. B. Zamolodchikov, *Ann. Phys.* **120** (1979), 253 and references therein.